

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/434,586	11/05/1999	JAMES H. WICKS	54905USA2A.002	4126
	590 01/14/2002		·	
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY P O BOX 33427 ST PAUL, MN 55133-3427			EXAMINER	
			REDDING, DAVID A	
STIACE, MIN	33133-3427	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	6
· ·			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	er en			1.2.6				
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
1	•	09/434,586	WICKS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	<u> </u>	David A Redding	1744					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sh t with the c	orrespond nce addi	ress				
THE V Extens after S If the p If NO p Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute oly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
' 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) $1-27$ is/are pending in the application	ı .						
. 4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•				
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.			* .				
7) 🔲 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement.						
Application	n Papers		· -					
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.	•					
12)∏ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		**	,				
13) 🔲 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
: a)[All b) Some * c) None of:	*						
1	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		*				
	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No :					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· <u>=</u>	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-					
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of	Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744


DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) assay device with at least two chambers (cl. 1-6,10,11-13,18-20; (2) assay device with at least three chambers (cl. 7-9,14,15-17,21,22); (3) assay method with device having at least two chambers (cl. 23); (4) assay method with device having at least three chambers (cl.24-27).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 703-308-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th,Fr, 7:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

D.A.R. January 11, 2002